think you had asked for the High Schools.

Sri G. VENKATAI GOWDA.—May I know whether the scholaships are given to the students in the Government Educational Institutions only or even to the students in aided schools?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—They are given to the students in all the schools.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA.—May I know the numher of scholarships allotted to each district?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—They are given according to the number of applicants. I do not think a proportionately greater amount is given to any one district in the Malnad Area.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know whether the Government are contemplating a scheme of scholarships similar to the Malnad Scholarships for the famine areas of the State?

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is a different question altogether.

Estimate for Increasing the Waste-Weir of Vadayanakere of Terakanambi in Gundlupet Taluk.

Q.—2475. Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether an estimate has been prepared to increase the height of waste weir of Vadayanakere of Terakanambi in Gundlupet taluk;

(*b*) if so, the estimated cost for the same?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH, (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) No.

(*b*) Does not arise.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether the Government has any intention to prepare an estimate?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—It is a question about the future. If there is any need for it, the Government will certainly do it.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether any application to that effect has come from the ryots?

Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH.—No.

Question No. 2579.

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—Sir, as I have called for some further information on question No. 2579 it may be taken up later on.

Mr. SPEAKER.—It will be taken up later on.

Kannada Typewriter.

Q.—2598. Sri K. KENCHAPPA (Hiriyur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that they have approved the Kannada Typewriter Key Board designed by Sri Anantha Subba Rao, which was condemned by the Government-appointed Kannada Typewriter Key Board Selection Enquiry Committee under the Chairmanship of Sri Vijayapalayya;

(*b*) the opinion of the said Committee after investigation and enquiry in this behalf;

(*c*) the various Kannada Key Boards and persons who designed them that were examined when last selection was made together with the date and the Committee that made the selection;

(*d*) whether they have placed order for trial machines and whether they have intimated to all concerned in preparing designs and machines, etc., for getting more up-to-date and improved machines for the purpose of getting them examined by expert committee for making fresh selection?

A.—Smt. GRACE TUCKER (Deputy Minister) [On behalf of SRI V. VENKATAPPA (Minister for Education)].—

(*a*) It is a fact that Government have approved the Kannada Typewriter Key-board designed by Sri K. Anantha Subbaraya but it cannot be said that the above Key-board was condemned by the Kannada Typewriter Committee constituted by Government in the year 1950 under the Chairmanship of Sri T. T. Sharma.

(SMT. GRACE TUCKER)

Sri K. Sree Vijayapaliah was one of the members of the above Committee.

(*b*) The Kannada Typewriter Committee were of the opinion that none of the Key-boards placed before them was acceptable either from the point of view of the tests they applied or from that of the points specified by Government in the terms of reference.

(*c*) The last selection made was on 24th March 1957 when the following Kea-boards were examined :—

(1) Kannada Typewriter Key-Broad designed by Sri K. Anantha Subbaraya.

(2) Kannada Typewriter Key-Board designed by the Kannada Typewriter Committee constituted in the year 1950 under the Chairmanship of Sri T. T. Sharma.

The persons who examined the above Key-Boards are the following :

(*i*) Sri S. Nijalingappa, the Chief Minister.

(*ii*) Sri Kadidal Manjappa, the then Education Minister.

(*iii*) Sri R. Srinivasan, the then Secretary to Government, Education Department.

(*iv*) Sri B. N. Sri Sathyan, the then Principal Information Officer.

(*v*) Sri D. S. Gurubasavappa, the Director of Printing, Stationery and Publications.

(*vi*) Sri T. T. Sharma, the then Officer-in-charge, History of Freedom Movement, Mysore State.

(*vii*) Sri B. Krishnaswamy Chetty, retired Director of Printing and Stationery.

(*d*) Government have issued orders regarding the manufacture of six Kannada typewriters for trial purposes as per design evolved by Sri K. Anantha Subbaraya. Quotations have been called for from the firms dealing in Typewriters for the manufacture and supply of these six typewriters for trial purposes. In view of this, the reply to the latter part of the question does not arise.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಮೊದಲು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದ ಕೀಬೋರ್ಡನ್ನು ಎರಡನೆಯ ಸಾರಿ ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು ?

Smt. GRACE TUCKER.—It was on testing that we found that after certain modifications this key board could be approved.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಎರಡನೆಯಸಾರಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿದ ಕೀಬೋರ್ಡಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊದಲನೆಯದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಗಿ ಏನು ಸುಧಾರಣೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು ?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is not possible to say, the detailed changes that were made.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗದೇ ಹೋದಂಥ ಜನ ಆ ಸಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ಭಾವಿಸಬಹುದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಾವು ಹೇಗೆಬೇಕಾದರೂ ಭಾವಿಸಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿದ್ದವರು ಶ್ರೀ ಅನಂತಸುಬ್ಬರಾಯರ ಟೈಪ್‌ರೈಟರು ಕೀಬೋರ್ಡನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪುವಾಗ ಸಹ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದು ಒಪ್ಪಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಯಾವಯಾವ ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ಆಗಿದ್ದರ ದೆಸೆಯಿಂದ ಒಪ್ಪಿದರು, ಹಿಂದಿನದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಏನು ಸುಧಾರಣೆಯಾಗಿದೆಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲವೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ತಪಶೀಲನ್ನು ಜ್ಞಾಪಕ ಹಿಟ್ಟುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ. ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಇತರ ಕೀಬೋರ್ಡುಗಳಿಗಿಂತ ಉತ್ತಮವಾಗಿದ್ದುದರಿಂದ ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಯಿತು. ಈಗ ಮೊದಲು 'ಎ' ಎಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು, ಈಗ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ, 'ಬಿ' ಎಲ್ಲಿತ್ತು, ಈಗ ಎಲ್ಲಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಹಿಂದೆ ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅದನ್ನು ಒಪ್ಪುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಿರೋ ಆ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲೇನು ಸುಧಾರಣೆಯಾಗಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅದನ್ನು ಈಗ ಹೇಳಲು ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಕೆಂಚಪ್ಪ.—ಹಾಗಾದರೆ ಈ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯನ್ನು ಮುಂದಕ್ಕೆಹಾಕಿ ಆಮೇಲೆ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ತಾವು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕೇಳಬಹುದು. ಸುಧಾರಣೆ ನೋಡಿ ಒಪ್ಪಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದಾರೆ. No Minister is expected to have technical knowledge about this. In a broad way he states the improvements made in the key board. There the matter ends.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—I say that this is also a breach of privilege because members have a right to know the actual situation about a fact which has been tabled in the form of a question. Otherwise for what purpose should we put a question ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let me make it clear. I would like to know from the member whether the breach of privilege is directed against the Chair.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—Not against the Chair. If the answer is not to the question that has been put before the House and if there is any curtailment of the right of the member then it becomes a matter amounting to a breach of privilege.

Mr. SPEAKER.—So far as I am aware, with regard to such cases when a Minister gives a reply, it should be taken as covering the full facts. If the member wants some more information he may put a question, I have no objection. But merely because he feels that the answer is not full there cannot be any breach of privilege at all.

Sri K. KENCHAPPA.—The concerned Minister is not in a position to tell what actually the position is by going through the records available. Therefore let him have an opportunity to have all the materials before him and then reply.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member is not correct in saying that the reply given is not in an appropriate way. It is for me to judge whether the question is sufficiently discussed here or not. Merely because the Hon'ble Member feels that the Chief Minister or the Minister concerned is not giving proper replies, it cannot be said to be a breach of privilege or that the member's right is curtailed.

Sri V. SRINIVAS SHETTY.—Are there any experts among the members of the Committee who are in a position to find out the defects or otherwise in the key board?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not think any mechanical expert is necessary. We examined the various machines and the various arrangements made and judged them. We were satisfied that the key board designed by Sri Anantha Subba Rao was the best.

Sri M. C. NARASIMHAN.—Was it a unanimous opinion or a majority opinion?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—So far as I could remember, I think every one of us agreed that it was the best.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Are there any technical defects brought to the notice of the Government?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—We went through all the machines and we found that this person had taken a lot of trouble, had worked over his machine for a number of years and improved it. Then we said that it was the best.

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—May I know whether Government have placed orders for machines and if so, when they are going to expect them?

Mr. SPEAKER.—The answer is given in clause (*d*).

Dr. R. NAGAN GOWDA.—It is stated that quotations have been called for. When do they expect to receive them?

Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot definitely say when exactly they will be received.

Restoration of Major and Minor Tanks in Shimoga Taluk.

*Q.—2732. Smt. RATHNAMMA MADHAVA RAO (Shimoga).—Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the total number of major and minor tanks in Shimoga taluk;

(*b*) the number of major and minor tanks restored in the said taluk during the last five years (yearwar figures may be furnished)?

A.—Sri H. K. VEERANNA GOWDH (Minister for Public Works).—

(*a*) Major Tanks ... 63
Minor Tanks ... 741

(*b*)

Year	Major Tanks.	Minor Tanks.
1952-53	2	39
1953-54	1	19
1954-55	1	8
1955-56	1	5
1956-57	1	1
Total	6	63

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾಧವರಾವ್.—ಕೆರೆಗಳ ಜೀರ್ಣೋದ್ಧಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಸರ್ಕಾರದಿಂದ ಧನ ಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗುತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

*ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಕೆ. ವೀರಣ್ಣಗೌಡ.—ಸ್ಪೆಷರ್ ಮೈನರ್ ಇರಿಗೇಷನ್ ಸ್ಕೀಂ ಎಂದು ಹೋದವರ್ಷ ಮಾಡಿದೆವು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೇಂದ್ರದಿಂದ ಧನಸಹಾಯ ಒದಗುತ್ತಿದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ರತ್ನಮ್ಮ ಮಾಧವರಾವ್.—ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಅಂಕಿಅಂಶಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ 63 ಮೇಜರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಆರು ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ 741 ಮೈನರ್ ಕೆರೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 63 ಕೆರೆಗಳನ್ನೂ ಜೀರ್ಣೋದ್ಧಾರಮಾಡಿದೆ. ಇದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಮಾಪುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗುತ್ತಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆ?